(3)

Muzaci

(Register Sheet No 39)

Taluk Chapra
Kobli. Dwarka Pr.
Village Shivpuri Sam

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Kaspagal S. M. **in th.**

CLASS. EXTENT AND VALUE OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Ext'ent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Measure in acres and their fractions in decima's.
	2	3	4	5
	Personal now Dharma-doya —	Taluk Panjaishu account of 1806 is not available. —		

Note

The Survey & valuation of the Village gives to each fanam of the old beriz Rs: 0-3-6 which is adopted in valuing the Minor Inams.

(Signed) D. O. Grant.
Supt. & Inam Settlo.
2/6/90

Summat of Varakodu in the Talook of Mysore

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings, &c. whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life.	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit rent, i. e. jodi, &c, Amount of latter to be entered.
6	7	8	9
		See Col: 19.	Free

Rents as per Kulgan Account of Varakodu Inamya Villages for 1831 } 428-0-10 = 1245-4-4
Deduct Levies on Inami Grounds 5- 4-0 = 15-11-4
Balance 422-6-10 = 1229-4-4

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11
	1. Sannad dated 13th October 1824 from His Late Highness the Maharaja to Gurikar Mariappa intimating him of the Grant of Adi Koppa Galu Village with its two Dakhalies, Tankone & Kutté one assessed at 367-1-14 to be enjoyed on Ombali Surva Inam tenure with all items of revenue with Sandal excepted. Viz. Sandi, Sharab, Ganja, Cau Tumbaku, Kum Siege, Jati Kota, Sumaya Chora all Swamadoya and all Devadaya; toto, Kudike Mulga mani Vanca Sunka, Comma, Maraga Kurugapadé Sunka Jati Kota, Sumaya Chatra Bolla Jhana, Charadaya, Korradaya, Mudré Kudavalé, Nana Kanike, Kampa Kanike Gerikanike Segé Cupli Muddi, Cutunga Moravali & Oppana Mole &c. The Grantee has been invested with powers of alienation. The Maharajah in his hand writing as usual states that as above enjoyed by hereditary succession & adds that the Grantee should act with fidelity with regard to the Gurikar Bulsa works & the Zamina bogul original produced. 1. Order to the amil of both Ashtagram Talaks dy 13th October 1824 from the Maharaja directing him to make over the said Village to the said individual with all items Sandal excepted to be enjoyed by perpetuity. original produced. N B Above returned to Raja Rama Lala in person on 21st October 1880. (Initialed) Robt Lole

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14

Talak. Cymaish
Inl.

Purgur Surva Inam
Jhadgeer pp. Village account
of 1825
Khas Balkhus Gurikar Mariappa
Kuppagal Village — 412-6-10

Purgur Surva Inam account of 1831
Khas Balkhus Gurikar Mariappa
Kuppagala asli 1
Dakhali 2
Total beriz 428-4-10

Khadeem beriz 124-4-2
Jasti beriz 304-4-8
428-4-10

Deduct jasti Inam . 5-4-4
Balance . 422-6-10

Purgur Surva Inam Kyfiat of 1838.
Khas Balkhus Gurikar Mariappa
Kuppagal Village
Beriz 422-6-10

of the Ashtagram

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner If the Inam has been subdivided the name, &c.. of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age &c.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders.	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent.	If unconnected with the original holders possession how obtained and in what year
15	16	17	18
Mysore Juggulala Chattra Managers protem	alienee	The grand father of the present managers purchased in public auction for Rs: 14,620-- on 10th August 1857 in execution of decree in appeal Suit No 9 of 1851 on the file of the Anzjur adalut Court.	

(Initialed) Robt Cole

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION.

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam.	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner	Assistant's opinion and recommendation

19

1. A Complete grant - Valid

2 - This Grant was made to one Kuvilkar Veerabhadrish by the late Maharaja with all items of revenue with the exception of Sandal. The Sanad is very liberal in allowing all items explicitly especially Sendi & Dharab & abkari item which is seldom mentioned in similar grants. The Inamdar however does not appear to have been extracting Toddy and distilling arrack as authorized by the Sanad. He lease out date trees to the Government contractor and does not distill arrack. Since the introduction of the Sudur distillery System in the Province this privilege has been withdrawn from all the Inamdars who were in the enjoyment of the same whether authorizedly or otherwise. Hence the holder of this Grant should also renounce its claim to the abkari item for ever.

Jugga Lala's descendants have purchased this Grant and dedicated the same for private charity. The object is very noble indeed.

Sayer and other items are allowed Sandal and right of abkary are reserved.

This Grant is subject to all local cesses.

A valuation Survey is desired

In the Sanad the word ombali is used which means I think nothing. When the Grant was made the grantee was in the employ of the late Maharaja who has directed the Grantee in his foot note to perform the "Bukkas" and Zamani bagul" work

write

with fedility. This does not mean that he should ever do the Service therein alluded to. It is I think simply an allusion of the work the Grantee had then been performing. The Grantee had been Authorized to alienate this in any way he liked.

To be Confirmed free Rule VI – 1. Title Deed.

(Signed) Ayednassiroodeen
Assistant.

Comp Mysore
19th Septr. 1879

N.B. There are petitions in this Office bearing Regr nos 203 & 284 from Subbanna and Subbanna respectively stating that this is a Service Grant, that the late Maharajah directed its Enjoyment by the family of the Grantee, that it has now been transferred & Enjoyed by others; that after the Village was put to Auction and purchased by Damanath Lala and Endorsement was issued by the late Maharaja in 1858 to the effect that it being a Service Grant should be resumed, that this Endorsement is with the Great Grandson of the orig. Grantee and that this Village may therefore be resumed.

A notice was issued to the Great Grandson to produce the Endorsement if any and time was allowed therefor, but it was not produced and some excuse was advanced. The time allowed for the production of the Endorsement was on or before the 30th Ultimo he not only failed to produce on that date, but he has not done so as yet.

Damanath Lala purchased the Village in a public Auction for good Consideration, even if there was any such Endorsement as alleged by the petitioners it was their duty to bring it to the notice of the Court which ordered its sale. It is now useless to urge any such Ground at this distance of time which is frevolous & vexacious & their Complainant is therefore untenable. The Petition said to have been presented by Subbanna appears to be anonymous & no one has turned out during my Enquiry Endorsement will be given to the other petitioner Subbanna with reference to the foregoing observations.

This is indeed not a Service Grant as alleged by the Petitioners.

(Signed) Ayednassiroodeen
Assistant

8th Octr. 1879.

(Continued)

Note

A. Independent Inams 3 viz.
1. Devadanya
2. Chukur
3.

B. Items enjoyed
1. Land revenue.
2. Inchatanya
3. Maravali
4. Dayr
5. Local fund
6. Date trees
7. Revenue cattle pound
8. Forest.

C. Statistics

1. Asli Village	1
2. Dakhali Kempere	2
Gondana hundi depopulated* } Muddagondana hundi) }	2
3. Kulle	16
4 Cat walls.	1
5. Stone bund	
6. Raja Immiseveri malay.	1
7. Ciga sadi Nalé	1
8 Devastans (Stone built tiled)	2
9. Tiled Choultry	1
10 Houses tiled 28 Thatched 31	59
11. Ploughs	72
12 Carts	60
13 Looms	2
14 Shop (Gattery) Godds.	2
15. Population	259
men 98 Boys 68 women 75 girls 18	483
16 Cattle.	

D. Boundaries are those fixed by the Survey Department.

19/7/99 (Signed) Ayyanassiradasu assistant.

1. Confirmed free as a Dharmadanya grant as per the remarks of the Assistant Superintendent.

Rao

2. The grant having been made with full powers of alienation, it cannot be considered as purely Service grant.—

(Signed) Robt: Cole
Asst Sr of Mains Accts.

Mysore
20th Octr: 1880

3. Revised as per the Government Proceedings No 4701-9 / 133 dated 2nd September 1881.

4. Vide the statement received with Survey Asst's Docket-No 793 of 21st December 1887.

	A. G.	Rs a p
Total area and Assessment	1091-14	938-8-0
Deduct for 3 minor Inams	17-15	11-14-0
Balance =	1073-39	926-10-0

Particular

		A. G.	Rs a p
Unculturable =		174-14	5-8-0
Dry	Cultivated =	840-26	828-2-0
	Culturable = (waste)	27-2	6-0-0
Cultivated	Tarat =	4-20	14-0-0
	Garden =	27-17	73-0-0
Total =		1073-39	926-10-0

5. Finally Confirmed free

(Signed) D. Grant
Asst Sr of Mains Accts.

2/6/90

Copy of the **Register of Inams in the Village of** Kuppagal S. in **in the**

CLASS, EXTENT AND VAL[illegible] OF INAM.

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village.	General class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No. and name of field or fields comprised in the grant. Dry, wet or garden	Extent. Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, goontas, and annas, it is to be entered in this column.	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
	2	3	4	5
	(J. 2 R Regr S. No. 18)			
2.	Dwadasya	Dry No 118	Anagur Jain account 1831. K – K. P. 0 – 4 – 6 = A. G. 2 – 35	P. F. C. R. as P 1 = 2 – 14 – 7 Pro: Fortunate Survey Assessment No: 2 – 4 –
	(Do. No 19)			
3.	Do	Dry No 117	Anagur Jain Acct 1804 K – K. P 0 – 2 – 0 A. G 1 – 12	P. F. C. R as P 0 – 4 – 0 = 1 – 2 – 7 Pro: Survey Assessment = Rs 0 14 – 0 –

Sammat of Varakodu in the Taluk of Mysore

DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND

Old assessment.	Survey assessment.	Description of Inam.	
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government the cess paid by the ryots to the inamdar or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7.		If for service it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for tanks, buildings &c. whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, &c, or liable to quit rent i.e jodi, &c, Amount of latter to be entered.
		8	9
		For Hanumantharaya's Temple in this Village which as per Amil's Certificate is in Good Order & Service is rendered	Free
		For 2 Amils Temple in this Village which as per Amil's Certificate is in Good Order & Service rendered	Do

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM.

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, &c., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.
10	11

of

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee.	Name entered in the register prepared by Poorniah up to 1810.	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810.
12	13	14
	Kudzur Jairi account 1804 Hanumantiea = Croza K.C.P P.7.V. Dry u-8-u 1—	1831 Hanumantaroza K C P P.7.V. Dry u-4-6 1—
	Kudzur Jairi accch 1804 Jnair K.C.P.P.7.V Dry 0-2-0 u-4-u	1831 Jnair K C P P.7.V Dry u-3-9 u-4-u

of the

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS.

Particulars regarding present owner		If the Inam has been subdivided, the name, &c., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.	
Residence, name and age &c	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Kuppa Gola Banumantaraya Manager protem.	〃	〃	
Kuppa Gola Mari Manager protem	〃	〃	〃

Division.

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam. Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
1. A whole grant Valid 2. Huzur Jari Account of 1804 - adopted 3. To be confirmed Free Rule V-1 Title Deed (Signed) Illegible Inam Asstt. 9-8-79. Countersigned Robt Hole Inam Supdt. 20/10/80	1. The area in Col: 4 bearing the Proportionate Survey Asstt in Col: 6 is finally Confd. Free. (Sd) D. D. Grant Supdt of Inam Settlt 2/6/90
1. Complete Grant Valid 2. Huzur Jari account of 1804 adopted 3- To be confirmed Free Rule V-1 Title Deed (Signed) Illegible Asstt 9-8-79. Deed (Countersigned) Robt Hole Inam Supdt 20-10-80	1. The area in Col. 4 bearing the Proportionate Survey Asstt in Col: 6 is Confd finally Free (Signed) D. D. Grant Supdt of Inam Settlt 2/6/90
Main Supdts Office Bangalore 1st Decr 1890	Copy D. D. Grant Supdt of Inam Settlt

Read:-

Canarese communicat
No. 25 dated 19th Octobe
1896 from the Deputy
Commissioner, Mysore
District reporting that
Jaggulala's Chattram at
Mysore (otherwise known
as Deenanath Lala's
Dharmasala) is in a state
of disrepair in spite
of the large inams endowed
thereto, and that its
manager Subrahmanya
Lala on being called
upon to render an account
of the income & Expenditure
from the endowments of
the institution declines
to render such accounts
alleging that the Chattram

not one subject
the control of the
Inam ~~management~~
department.

Read also:-

Extract from the
Inam Register pertaining
to the settlement of the
Sarvamanya village of
Kuppagal in Mysore Taluk
Inam to the aforesaid
Chattram.

Order thereon No. 885-6
Inam F 30-96
Dated 5th Feb: 97

The Chattram in question
gives a cash allowance
864 a year from
Inam Department
rather endowed

with the Sarvamanya Inam village of Kuppagal which at the Inam settlement has been confirmed as Dharma-daya Inam for the maintenance of the institution and in favor of its manager for the time being. The Chattram is thus a charitable institution subject to the control of Govt. in the Muzrai department which therefore has a right to satisfy itself that the charity is properly conducted and if the management is not satisfactory either to assume

the management with it should submit for approval

resume the cash and other
dram grants.

2. Subrahmanya Lala's contention that he is not accountable to the Muzrai Departt: in respect of the management of the Chattram is untenable and cannot be accepted. He is [redacted] bound to render to the muzrai departt a proper account of the income and expenditure of the Chattram including the revenues of the dram village endowed thereto. And if he fails to submit such accounts the Deputy Commr: in the Muz: Departt: will proceed to assume the management of the Chattram and the dram village as a temporary measure and make arrangements for the proper conduct of the Charity.

3. A report of the action taken by the Dy: Commr: in pursuance of the foregoing order shd be submitted to Govt

(fair draft)

To. The Dy: Commr: Mysore for Dr.
- Palace Controller in Mysore for in

1897

No. 1270 1

Name of Petitioner Subrayan s/o Lala s/o Dattaram / Deanath Lalal s/o Datt

Village

Taluk } Mipore

District

Date of Arzi 17-2-97

Date of Receipt 2-3-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1.

ABSTRACT.

Appeals against the notice issued by the Personal Assistant to the Collector dated 11-2-97 to petitioners in pursuance of Govt Rso No 885-6 / 30-96 of the Municipal Dept directing him to produce accounts connected with the Deanath Lala's Chatram

The notice is as follows:-

A notice had been issued to you thro' the Amildar, Mylapore taluk, informing you that the a large extent of drain was granted to the above Chatram but the Chatram was in a bad state and directing the accounts connected with it shou submitted. In reply you

unsigned to the Hon'ble Secretary for perusal

2-3-97

for Secretary

As 12-3-97

Dewan

As 12-3-97

Secy. I will hear him on a date next week which may suit you at 4 P.M. Give him notice 23/3/97

Sup. office

Peon Sabapathy left 27-3-97 and a notice issued at once 24/3/97

Notice issued 24/3/97

noted that there is no reason to submit the accounts. This was reported to Muz. Secretary to Govt

In reply Govt. No 885-6/30-96 dt 23-2-97 to the following effect has been received from Muz. Deptt

Annually a ready money allowance of Rs 86.4 is being given to the Chatram from Muzrai Deptt. In addition to this the Devadanam of Kuppayalla village is also granted It was confirmed as Dharmadayam during Inam Settlement and decided that it should be in the management of Chatram managers for the time being for its maintenance Hence supervision has devolved upon Muzrai deptt and it concerns the deptt to see whether or not charities to be carried out in Chatram are properly conducted it is understood that the charities are not conducted properly, the above deptt has power either to resume the ram, the Inadik granted for purpose, or to assume the management of the chatram. our objections in this matter must be accepted. Subramany. a is bound to furnish Muzrai deptt all accounts receipts & Expenditure

connected with the Chatram. If he fails to do so, the Dy/Commr: should assume management of Chatram & Dharm village temporarily and arrange for the conduct of duties there.

Within one month from this date, you should not only furnish accounts as directed above, but you should also cause repairs to the Chatram which is in a bad state. If you fail to do so, the management of it will be assumed by the Muzrai Dept.

The petitioner urges:—

It is stated in the above notice that an allowance of 864 Rs is being given by the Muz: Dept to the Dharmasala which his father Deamanatha Lala has built at Mysore. This is incorrect.

His father requested this late H. Krishnaraj Wadyar to give him some help for perpetuation of his charities. Thereupon H. H. issued an order to the Khajana there to give Dharmasala monthly 25 pagodas out of the amount allotted for the

own charitable acts. According to the above order the allowance is being given for the last 42 years. When a notification was published that all persons to whom the amounts were due by H.H. late Highness should file suits, a suit was filed for Rs 1,06000 due to his father by H.H. In the decree passed by Col C.B Elliott, the presiding Judge & Major Campbell on 20 16th [illegible] 44, it is mentioned that it is understood from H.H's [illegible] letter that 25 pagodas are paid monthly for charity purposes. The above amount cannot be said to be a Dasabadi from Inam dept. A sum of Rs 72 is paid monthly from H.H's Huzur Treasury. The Comptroller of the Dept issued Inam Rulings No 492 & 29-1-9+ directing submission of monthly list of pensions, & in pursuance of which, he is submitting it Consequently the amount is not a Dasabadi of the Inam dept.

2. The statement that the Sarva manya Kuppagalu is granted as Inam for the Dharmasoudu is not correct.

The above Sarvamanya was in the enjoyment of Boksada Gurikar Maruppa. On account of his debts the village was sold by auction on 10-10-57 under a decree of the late adawlut Court. His father Deanandto Lal bid for it for 1462 Rs & it was knocked down to him. This village was not granted Inam for the maintenance of the Chowky Under the circumstances it cannot be said that Govt have granted it as Shanmanya.

3. The Collector notices also the rules that in making settlement the village shall be confirmed as Shanmanya & decided that it should be for the maintenance of the Chowky for the time being

No such conditions are laid down in the Settlement. The meaning of time being is:- It is a temporary ~~lease~~ Pattadeed. He has enclosed a copy of it. He was a minor at the time of the Settlement It is understood that at Raja rama Lala's request, son of his uncle Bala Mukunda Dass Lala

it was then in the management of the charity; made with the consideration that some creditors would proceed against the village, it was settled as Dharmasala of Jaggulala. It is stated that the quit-rent mentioned in Col 7 of the Title deed, that it is confirmed Bout in the Sanad granted to Bollsada Gurukar Mariappa by H Late H Krishnaraj Vadiar it is mentioned that he is entitled to sell for the whole village. It is laid down in Inam Rules that quit rent would not be charged on such village whether it is in the possession of the family of the grantee or disposed of to Heirs. The above column is left blank merely because quit rent cannot be charged, but it is not mentioned that it is confirmed by showing the amount. Therefore it cannot be presumed that quit-rent is not charged, as the Inam is a Dharmadaya.

4 It is stated in the above notice that large extent of Inam has been granted and that the Chabram is in bad repairs.

He has already pointed

out—

pointed out that Govt have not granted any land for the chatram Deamanalk Lal purchased a vacant site & built Dharma sala at a cost of 20 or 30000 Rs. It is repaired ~~every~~ every now & then at 6000 or 7000 Rs with a view of maintaining it in good condition. Therefore it is not known how the authorities reported that it was in bad State The Muz: Deptt neither granted the site nor built Dharmasala

5. The Muz: Secy's order directing Dy Comm. to assume management of the Dharmasala & the village is despotic, as he has not received any help from the Muzrey Deptt as ready money grant &c, or placed them in the management of the Deptt

He has been uninterruptedly perpetuating the charity founded by his ancestor for the last 40 years. Under the circumstances, he is at a loss to know the cause of such orders issued by Muz: Deptt

Hence he has preferred an appeal to Dewan. Prays that his case may be heard

ing fixing a date therefor & by endorsement informing him of it, to enable him to show it to the Dy Commr when he comes to assume management of the chabrim &c and also to produce all reference he has at the time of hearing

Further states that he has brought the above facts to the notice of Muzrai Secy in writing through the Amildar

Enclosures: —

(1) Original notice issued from Muzrai dept. Mysore dtd

(2) Copy of order No 492 from the Comptroller of H. E. Muzrai Rakha

(3) Copy of title deed of Sarva mangala Kuppagala

(4) Copy of Muzrai Secy's order B-8 &c & correspondence thereon

(5) -do- of notice from the Mysore

270/ Income Tax 24/2/97

Transferred to Mirzaraya Secretary Tradyland 21/3/97

One Two Rupees Stamp affixed

2701/A
2-3-97

2

3

5

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಸಿಆಸುಇ ೧೦೦ ಸಿಆಸುಇ ೨೦೧೭ (ಭಾಗ-೨)

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು,
ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಡಳಿತ ಸುಧಾರಣಾ ಇಲಾಖೆ,
ಬಹುಮಹಡಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 16/12/2017

ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ

ವಿಷಯ: ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಭಾಷೆಯ ಬಳಕೆ ಕುರಿತು.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ರಾಜ್ಯದ ಆಡಳಿತ ಭಾಷೆ ಕನ್ನಡವಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಬಳಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹಲವು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಕೆಲವು ಕಚೇರಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡದ ಬಳಕೆ ಸಮರ್ಪಕವಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೆಂಬ ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಚೇರಿಗಳ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸೂಚಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1. ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆಗಳು, ಆದೇಶಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಹೊರಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

2. ಕಚೇರಿಯ ನಾಮಫಲಕಗಳು, ಸೂಚನಾ ಫಲಕಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಅರ್ಜಿ ನಮೂನೆಗಳು ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿರಬೇಕು.

3. ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ ಬರುವ ಮನವಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕನ್ನಡದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಉತ್ತರ ನೀಡಬೇಕು.

4. ಕನ್ನಡ ಬಳಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಗತಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರತಿ ತ್ರೈಮಾಸಿಕಕ್ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಈ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು ಪಾಲಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಶಿಸ್ತು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

(ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ)
ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು

1

2

3

4

5

"ಸುಂಟಿಕೊಪ್ಪ"

ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಂದರ ಆಶ್ರಮ ಕೊಡಗು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ

ಲಾಂಛನ ಇಂದ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಂದರ ಮಂದಿರವಿದೆ ಎನ್ನಲಿದೆ. ದೀನಾನಾಥರಲಾಂ
ಲಾಂಛನವಿರಲಿದ್ದರೆ ಎನ್ನಲಿದೆ ಸುಶ್ರೂಷೆಯಿಲ್ಲಾಂ.
ಅವರಿಗೆ ಕಿಳಂಬರಿಸೂಚಕೀನಂ ದ್ರಶ್ಯ.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಛಾಯಾಚಿತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದಂಥ ನಾಂಕ್ಷಿ-
ಚಿತ್ರಿಂಗ್ ಬಿಡಲಿಟ್ಟ ದಿನ್ನಿಸಿದ. ಛಾಯಾಚಿತ್ರ ನಾಂದಾರಸ್ಥ ಸ್ಟ್ರೈಕ್
ಅನುಲ್ಲರುತ್ತಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂ ಛಾಯಾಚಿತ್ರದ ಚಿತ್ರವಿಂಬಿಸುಗಿಲ್ಲಿ ಸಳಂಚಿ
ಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದೇಕೆಂದು ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಂದರಾಶ್ರಮ ಆಡಳಿತಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ
ನವರು ಸುಂಟಿಕೊಪ್ಪ ಸ್ಟೇಶಂದದ್ರುಶ್ಚಿ ಲಿಖಿತ ಕೊಂಡಿರುವಾಂ
ಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅನಿಸ್ಟಿಸಿ. ನಿಷ್ಪಂದಶಂದಚಿತ್ರಂಚಿಗೆ ನವಿಂದ
ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ರೀ ಸುಂದರಾಶ್ರಮ ಲಾಂಛನ ಇರುವ ರೀತಿಯ ಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸಿ
ಲಿಖಿತವಿಸಿ ತರಲಿರುವಲ್ಲಿ:—

ಅಲ್ಲಿಂ ಛಾಯಾಚಿತ್ರಕ್ಕೆ ಇರುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿಂ ಲಾಂಛ-
ನವಿಂದ ಸಾಂಟಿಕೊಪ್ಪಾಂ ರೂ. ಆ. ಪೈ. ನನದ
ಅಲಿಲಣಿಸಿಸಿಸಲ್ಲವದ್ದಲ್ಲದೆ ಪರ್ಯಂತಾಂಸ್ಥಿಕುಲಪತಿಗಳಾಲಿ
ಇಲ್ಲಾಂ ಸಹಾಯಿರಲಿಲ್ಲಿಟ್ಟಿಕ್ಷಿ- ಇನಾಂ ನಿಷ್ಟಿಕೆಯಿಂಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ

ಪುಟ ೧

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸೀತಾಪತಿ ಕಲಿರುತ್ತಾನೆ -

ನಿಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರ ಯುಂಲಾಂಸಾಂಯುಕ್ತ ವಂದು ತಿಯಳಿಯಳ್ಳ
ಸಂತಾಪ ಪುಲ್ಲಂದಂತ್ರಿ ಲಿಪ್ಪಿಸಳಂಸ್ಪುಶಿಂದಿಚೋಕಿಲ್ಲದೆ. ನಾಂ
ದುರಸ್ಥಯುಂರುವಳ್ಳುತ್ರಿಂಸ್ಪು ದುರಸ್ಥನಡಾಂಯುಂಡಿನಚೋ
ಕಿಲ. ಯುಂಲೋಕಿನಡಿನಡಿಕಲ್ಪುದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿನ ಆಡಳಿತವನ್ನಿ
ಲ್ಲಾಂ ವಯಬರಾಂಯು ಯುಲಾಂಚಿಸಿ ತಿಜೂಂವಿಸಿ ಯೂಂಡಿಚಿಂ
ಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪಡಲಿತ್ರಿ - ತಿಳಿಯುವದ್ದುಗಾ.

11-2-97

ಶ್ರೀ. Ramanarao.

ಶ್ರೀ ರಿ - ಡಿ.ಪ್ರಭುಪ ಕಲಾಂಗಜಿ
ಜಿಲ್ಲಾನಾಯಿಂಡಿಪ್ರಿಸ್ಟ್ಯಿಟ್.

15/2/97 ಅಂದಿನಲಿಕಿ
ಕಳುಪ್ಪಿಂಚಿಂಡಿಯಲಿಕಿ
ಅಂಡಿಸಿಂಡಿಂಡಿಕಿಂಡಿಯಲಿಕಿ

Ad-interim Certificate.

General No. 57757
Quitrent Register No.

The items of Sayer and Toddy are conceded.

Inam Certificate granted to the Manager for the time being of Mysore Jaggalala Khalsa on behalf of the Hon'ble Commissioners for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, I acknowledge the title of Mysore Jaggalala Khatra to Inam village of Kuppagala Sarvamanya in the Hobali of Varakodu in the Taluk of Mysore of the Mysore District Ashtagram Division as per particulars shewn in the annexed Schedule.

2 This Inam is confirmed to the Khatra free of tax as shewn in column 7 of the Schedule so long as the Khatra is duly maintained.

Schedule

Description	Total extent of fields	Old extent in case of Act D.	Former Assessment Rs as p	Former Jodi Rs as p	Present Quitrent Rs as p	Commuted Quitrent Rs as p	Boundaries of whole village only
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Dry							
W. Garden		Not available	1229.9.1		Free	—	

3 This Inam is moreover subject to the

payment of general, local, and municipal taxes and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation in common with ordinary Kandayam ryots.

4 This certificate is issued provisionally and pending the issue of a formal title deed, which will be granted when the actual area and assessment have been finally determined by the Survey and Settlement Department, after the issue of which this document becomes cancelled.

Inam Commissioners Office
Dated 1st December 1880.

(Signed)
for Inam Comm[r]

Case No 1

Name	Shares or Vrithis	Former Jodi	Combined Jodi

(Signed) Rob[t] Cole
Supt of I... Settlement

5/10/96

Urgent

ನಂ — 4326

Camp ನಂಬರ್ - 11

Encl 2 — 1068.

ಹುಜೂರುದಿವಾ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಶ್ರೀಕರ್ಲಾಲ್ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅನುಲೆದಾರ್‌ಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಆದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ನಂ 189.6.97ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಕುಬಟೂರಾಲು ಕೊಮ್ಮೆ ಅಪೀಲ್‌ಅರ್ಜಿ 270/ನೇ ನಂಬರು

ವೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ವಾಪಸ್-ನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನವೂದಾವರ ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ

2 ನೋಟೀಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಿನುರಹಾ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟೀಸುಪಟ್ಟನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್ ಕಳುಹಿಸೆತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಕೆಳ್ಳಾಕೂಲ್ ಕೂಂಡ್ಲಕಾಳ್ಳಿ
ಬುಲ್ಲಕುಲ ಪಾಂಡ್ಯಕಾರ
ದಿನೇಂಶಕ್ಷ ಲಂಲಾಗಳ
ಕುಬ್ರ ಪ್ಲಾಬ್ಲಿಲಂಲಂ.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 24 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ 189 7 ನೇ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರಜಾಗಾ ಹೆಂಕಟ್ಟಂ ಶ್ರೀಕರ್ಲಾಲ್

By order,

Under Revenue Secretary.

ಹೆಂಕಟ್ಟಂಕರ್ಲಾಲ್

24/3/97

No 1034

Secretary

Camp. Patna Pt
24/3/97

Urgent

ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಹುಜೂರ್ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1896-97 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ~~ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ~~ ಮಂಬಾಲು ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು 2701

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಕೆಲ್ಲಸುಂಡೀಮುಂಡ್ಡಾಳ್ಳಿ ಉಲ್ಲಾಡುರ

ಸಾಧ್ಯಕಾರ ಡ್ರೈವಾಂಥ್ಯಲಾಂ ಬರಕು ಪಕ್ಕುಬಕ್ಕಿಲ್ಲಿ —

ಲಾಲಾಲಂ — — —

ವಿಷಯಕ್ಕೆ,

ಕೆಲ್ಲಸುಂಡೀಮುಂಡ್ಡಾಳ್ಳಿಪಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲಾಡುರ ದಿವಾಂಗ್ ಲೈಲ್ -

ಮೇಲೆ ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲುವಾದಿ ಕಿಲ್ಲಕ್ಕರ್ಡಳ್ಳಿಲಾಲಾಂ ನರಿಗೆ

ಇಲಾಕಾ ಶಿಕ್ಷರಾಸಾಗಿಕಿಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಂಗಾಳ್ಳಿಲಿಂಗಿಲು ಬಾಕಾಲು ಇಲಾಲಾಲಾಂ ಬಾಕುಲ್ಲಂಗಿಂದ

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ 1897 ನೇ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರು ಹುಜೂರಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ

ಗುರಾಯಿಸಿದ 1897 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಶಿಕ್ಷರವರಿಮಾಹೆ 17 ನೇ ಮರಕುಮಿನ ಅಪೀಲು ತೀರ್ಪಯು,

1897 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ 27 ನೇ ತಾರಿಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಕಿಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಂಗಾಲ ನೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿಪ್ಪೆಕ್ಕಾರ್ಲೆಲ್ಲಿ

ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ಣ 11 ಗಂಟೆ ಸಮಯಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬತಾರೀಖು 24 ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ ನವಂಬರ್ ———— 1897

ನೇ ಇಸವಿ, ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಕಿಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿಂಗಾಲ್

By Order,

Under Revenue-Secretary.

24/3/97.

24/3/97

NOTICE.

To Subramanyalala, son of Dinanath Lala ~~of the village of~~ ~~of the Hubli~~ of residing at Doddapete, Mysore, ~~of the Taluk~~ of ~~of~~ the Mysore District.

Your appeal petition to the Dewan, dated 3rd February 1897 about the Dinanath Lala's Chattram in Mys will be heard on the 31st March 1897 at 4 P.M.

You are warned to appear in person ~~or by recognized Pleader or Advocate.~~

By Order,

Office of the Dewan of Mysore,
Dated Mysore, 30th March 1897.

~~Chief~~ Secretary.

ಮೈಸೂರಿನ ದಿವಾನರ ಕಛೇರಿಯವರು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆಜ್ಞಾಪಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Read:— Petition No. 2701 dated 2nd March 1897 from Subrahmanya Lala son of Sowcar Deenanath Lala of Mysore praying for a re-consideration of the orders of Govt. No. 885-6 Mun. F 30-96 dated 5th February 1897 directing that Jaggu Lala's Chattram (otherwise known as Deenanath Lala's Dharma-sala) at Mysore should be treated as an Institution subject to the control of the Muzrai Department and that the Manager thereof is therefore bound to render to the Muzrai

Department, regular accounts of the income and expenditure from the cash and land grants endowed to the Institution.

Order thereon

No. 1087 R
Mur 730—96
Dated 6th April 1897.

The Dharmasala in question is in the enjoyment of a grant of Rs 72 per month. This was made by His Highness Krishnaraj Wodayer III and it used to be paid from the Palace till a few years ago, when with other charitable

and religious grants then being paid by the Palace, it was transferred to the State for payment Since then the grant is paid by the State, and the State in the Muzrai Department is bound to satisfy itself that the conditions of the grant are being duly fulfilled and that the Inst.n for which it is granted is in an efficient condition.

2. Next as regards the main village of Kuppagal, it is clear

from the terms of the original Sannad of 13th October 1824 that the grant was of an Inam by way of "Umbli" and that it was made on the condition of faithful service by the grantee and his descendants in the Zenana Department of the Palace & ~~in~~ the body of the Sannad, the usual powers of alienation of the four kinds are entered & ~~but~~ but Construing the Sannad as a whole, remembering the expressed object

object of the grant,
and having regard to
the condition of service
prescribed in the con-
-cluding portion in the
handwriting of the
Maharaja, there can be
no doubt that the
Inam department should
have treated the village
as granted for a particular
service and as incapable
of alienation, and it
should accordingly have
held the purchase of the
village by Deenanath Lala
in a Court auction as
conveying no title.

but the Government are unwilling now to reopen this question as the Inam Settlement was made so far back as 20th October 1880, and was to the effect that the village should be treated as a Dharmadaya Grant. In making such a Settlement the Inam Department was doubtless influenced by the fact that the village had been dedicated to the private charity by the purchaser.

3. Under the

circumstances above described, the Petitioner has nothing to gain but everything to lose by a revision of the Inam Settlement of 1880, with which he is apparently not quite satisfied now, though for the past 17 years he took no objection of any kind to it. The Govt. must decline ~~him~~ to allow him now to take any objection to the Settlement of 1880 and must direct full effect being given

Sennemen. The
Mwasai Department
should accordingly
satisfy itself that
the income of the
village is duly applied
for the maintenance
of the Dharmasala
in question.

4. The village in
recent years has greatly
increased in value
owing to some 300
acres in it being brought
under irrigation
from the new Rampur
Channel

(3)

Channel. This of
Course is no reason
for allowing the Petitioner
to question a Settlement
with which he has been
quite satisfied for
the last 17 years.

5. Subrahmanya Lala's
Petition praying for a
re-consideration of the
orders of Govt. dated 5th
February 1897 is accordingly
rejected.

Resaft.

Asst Secretary

To.
The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District for information and
Communication to the Petitioner

Reg: No. 41

To

The Mudaraayi Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

The humble petition of Subramania Lala, Son of the late Deenanatha Lala of Dodpet, Mysore, Shows:-

1) That in pursuance of your honours order No. $\frac{885-6}{30-96}$ D/ 3-2-97 he submitted to the Taluq Amildar for examination 5 Registers showing the daily account of the Dharmsala for 15 years ending with may last;

2) That the Taluq authorities have not only withheld the usual payments that were made to him from the MahaRajas Huzur Treasury, but also arrested the payment of the Toddy Revenue of the village - alloted to the Dharmsala;

3) That, in Spite of his Submission of the aforesaid accounts, the

Peshcush = - - - 3

years Revenue Collection in the said Village, and that they are giving him immense trouble by restricting all the incomes which the Dharmsala had;

4) That he therefore prays that he may be permitted as hitherto, to look after the Collections and other affairs connected with the said Village; That the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore may be ordered to hand over all the money that is due to him, while he himself is favoured with an endorsement.

Copy of Enclosures: -

1) Hukum No 154 dt 7-6-97. ordering for the Submission of accounts;

2) Deputy Commissioners Hukum No 156/156 dt 17-6-97 empowering the Taluq authorities in matters of Collection

6-7-97.
Bangalore

14-6-97

No. 154.

7-6-97

Copy

B. A.

H. Ramachar
Head Munshi &
Manager Govt. Press
Mysore.

Copy applied for
by Subramanya
Rao. 14-6-97.

Copy ready 15-6-97.

Copy delivered 15.6.97.

No of words 72

Copying fee 0-1-0

Comparing fee 0-0-2

Court fee 0-0-1

0-1-3

M. No. 20 / 30-96 En 3 (9)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 41
dated 6th July 97 received at the
Dewan's Office from Subrahmanya
Lala son of the late Deena-
-nath Lala of Mysore, Com-
-plaining that tho' in obedience
to the order of Govt. No. 886-6
Muzt 30-9-96
~~is forwarded, together with its~~
~~enclosures, to the~~ of 5th Feb: 1897, he
submitted to the Taluk Auildeu
the accounts of Deenanath Lalas
Dharmasala for the past 15 years,
yet the Taluk authorities have
attached the Muzt: Cash allce
payable to the Instn, as also
the revenues of the Inam
villages attached thereto, is
forwarded together with its 2
enclosures to the Dy Commr
Mysore Dist for favor of an
early report in the matter,

By order,

Muzt: ~~Chief~~ Secretary.

BANGALORE,
The 8th July 1897.

From the Same No 9 dt 24-7-97

M.G.C.P.B.—29-6-94—1,000.

Muz. 5]

ನಂ. /

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ್ ಕಚೇರಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ.

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ ಯಾಂ ಹಾಫೆ ನೇ ೯೦೧೮೪

ಹಾಪಟ್ಟಂ 41 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

6/7/47

By order

Asst.

(10)

1897. 98. 62

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
~~*Revenue and General*~~ *Department.*
Mysore

Dated 24th July 1897

Despatched

Received

General No. 9
No.

Enclosure No. 3

Submitting the report regarding Devanatha Lala's Dharmashala at Mysore.

Ks 26-7-97

Returned Rs 211 of 5/8/97

No. 9
Enclosure No. 3

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 24th July 1897

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
~~Revenue and General~~ *Department.*
Muzrai

SIR,

With reference to
Your official Memo No 20 M.7.30.96 dated
12th instant forwarding for report
the petition from Soobramanya
Lala, Son of the late Deenanath Lala
of Mysore, complaining that, though
in obedience to Government order,
he submitted the accounts of Deena-
-nath Lala's Dharmasyala, yet the
Taluk authorities have attached the
Muzrai Cash allowance payable
to the institution as also the revenues

of the Inam village attached thereto

I beg to state as follows:—

2. The said Dharmasyala being a Muzrai institution from its being endowed by Government with an annual cash allowance of Rs 864, and the Inam village of Kuppagal in the Mysore Taluk, it was desired in your Roobkari Camp No 3 dated 31st August 1896 that instructions might be issued to the Amildar of Mysore Taluk for examining the Jama-kurch accounts of the Government Endowments. On the Amildar calling upon Soobramanya Cala for the accounts he declined to render them alleging that the Chattram was not subject to the Control of the Muzrai Department, and it was reported to you in this office Comarcee Communication No 25 of 19th October 1896.

Thereupon

Thereupon Government order No.
885.6/30.96 dated 3d. February 1897 was
received to the effect that if Soobra-
-manya Lala failed to furnish the
accounts the management of the
Chetturam and the Suam village might
be temporarily assumed by me and
arrangements made for the proper
Conduct of the charity.

3. A notice was issued on the
11th February 1897 to Soobramanya
Lala from this office to submit the
accounts within a months and it was
served on him on the 15th But the
Avildar reported a week after the
lapse of one months of the notice that
no accounts had yet been received
by him from Soobramanya Lala. There-
-upon, in obedience to the Government
order, the Avildar was directed

to

to temporarily assume charge of the management of the Chettrum and the Inam village, and the Palace Officer was also asked to remit to the Amildar the monthly Cash al-lowance of the institution.

4. In the meantime Soobra-manya Cala submitted a petition to the Government praying for a re-Consideration of the Government order Cited above but it was rejected in Government order No $\frac{1087}{30.96}$ dated 5th April 1897.

5. Meanwhile, in pursuance of this office order issued on the Govern-ment order of 3d. February 1897, the Amildar assumed charge of the village and announced the fact to the vil-lagers personally as well as by Ishtar. As for assuming charge of the Chet-trum, it had to be put off owing to

Soobramanya Lala's absence at Madras at the time.

Subsequently on the 7th June 1897 Soobramanya Lala produced to this office five account books of the Dharmasyala which have been sent to Taluk for examination with instructions to defer taking charge of the management of the institution till the examination is completed. The accounts are now being examined and the Dharmasyala is in the management of Soobramanya Lala.

7. The petition with its enclosures received with the memo under reply is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant
M. Shamanna
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

(11)

Read:-

Petition no 41 dated 6th July 1897 from Subrahmanya Lala, son of the late Deenanath Lala of Mysore complaining that, though in obedience to the Govt. order of 5th February 1897 he submitted to the Taluk Auildar the accounts of Deenanath Lala's Dharmasala for the past 15 years, yet the Taluk authorities have attached the Muzrai cash allowance payable to the institution as also the revenues of the inam villages attached thereto.

And:-

Letter no 9 dated

a Muzarayi Tasdik, and Kuppa

24th July 1897 from the
Deputy Commr. Mysore
district reporting that
~~the Accounts~~ Srbrahmanya
Lala has since the issue
of the Govt. order no. 1087
Mus F 30-9/6
dated 6th April 1897
produced certain accounts
books relating to his
management of the
Devanath Lala's Dharmasala
and that pending exam.
of the accounts, the Dharmasala
has been left under the
management of Srbrahmanya
Lala himself.

Order thereon. No: 211 Dated 5/8/97
The Dy Commrs 30-96

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

letter is recon
with the remark that
the result of his exam^n.
of the accounts should
be reported to this Office
at an early date.

Mysore Dy
Asst

To. The Dy. Comm^r.
Mysore District

Mysore District

HK
24/8/97

2701, 0896 Memo no 1382 dt 11-3-97

Dept
24/8 Respt put up

HK
on 8/8/97

Submitted
HK 25/8/97

No: Pet 358

02 Cases
401

Transferred to the Secretary to
Govt, Muzarayi Dept
for disposal
by Mysore
26/8/97

28/

Cl
30 8 97

1897

No. 514

Name of Petitioner Subramanya (alias) Dodpette

Village Mysore

Taluk

District

Date of Arzi 12-8-97

Date of Receipt 16-8-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

States that there exists in Dodpette Mysore a Dharmasala built by his father Deenanathalala at a cost of 20 or 30 thousand rupees, for the purpose of giving condiments to Sadhus and other travelling classes, that for the upkeep of which Dharmasala his father had set apart the village of Kuppagala (Mysore Taluk) together with a portion of the produce of his own wet lands. That in addition to that grant his father had also allotted with the permission of H.H. the late Krishna Raja Wadeyar, for the maintenance of the Dharmasala, his own Palace-salary of Rs 25/- a month; that after the death of his Deenanathalala his son (the petr) became the registered proprietor of the said Dharmasala; that the Muzarayi Secy, falsely imagining that the said Palace-grant of Rs 25/- was a Muzarayi Jaadik, and Kuppa-

ala a Dharmadaiya village, appointed
Annaiya Pandit and others as Dharmadarsis
d issued an order to the Dy Commr
demand of the Petr the submission
all accounts connected with the
Dharma sala, directing him at the
same time to assume the temporary
management of the institution in case
submission of accounts was refused;
at when the Petr was accordingly asked
to submit the accounts connected with
Dharmasala which he had believed,
was his private property, he at first
thought it an infringement of his
rights and appealed to Govt; that seeing that
the Govt also were of the same opinion
as his muzavar says, he submitted,
2 months ago, 5 day-books showing
the accounts of 15½ years, to the Dy Collr
who, thereupon directed the amildar
to desist from assuming the management
of Dharmasala.

He further states that on his applying
for permission to collect the revenue of
the said village the Dy Commr told him
that a Nirkhem would be given after
the Accounts were checked; that although
the Govt order did not countenance
any interference with the matter after
the submission of the accounts, yet-

(i) the monthly grant of 72 rupees from
the Maharaja's Huzur Khazana is being directly
received and deposited in the Taluk
Treasury, (ii) the Dy Commr refuses
to give him the 3 years' Tody Contract - Amount
(iii) the ryots are forbidden to give the Dharmakki (see page 30)
Inamdars the Jamabandi - Amount for
all collection - work being carried on by the
taluk and the Amounts deposited;-
that the Palace authorities and Muzavar's Secy
are alike averse to redress his above
grievances concerning the illegality of taking
steps even after the submission of the required
Accounts; And that he is undergoing
many hardships (with regard to maintaining his dharmasala) on account of the rise in the
price of all food grains.

Prays that the records may be sent for
from the Petr and an equitable order passed
after perusal of these records. He promises to
continue to submit his Accounts regularly hereafter.

23/8/97 (Rd for abstract on 21/8/97)

No. 564

401

576-K
16-8-97

By first left
16-8-97
Books, Stamp affixed
References

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಯನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದು ಇವೆಲ್ಲವೂ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಪರ್ಕವನ್ನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದು

12/8/97

Cur. No 3
361
736

రూబకారి.

మైసూరు ~~సౌర్వభౌమ~~ ముజరాయి ఇలాఖా.

బ తారీ 31 నే మాహే ఆగస్టు
189 6నే ఇసవి మోకాం.
వెల్లసింగం లు

By order,

Superintendent
Muzrai ~~Secretary~~.

వెల్లసింగంలుకడ నగ్గరిలవ ఎలుకోసం లు
విచారణకు గివళ్ళదివ్వు దిలసాంసాం ధ్వలంలి ధ్వళ్ళ
శాంలంకి ఎలుకసం లు లులం ఖోలుబంచసాం లు
యలంశాం 864 శుం శీలుగళ్ళు నగదు శెకనదిశీల
లుళువ రబుచి వెల్లసింగం లు శింగ్గం రినగ్గ కెలివ్వి
గాలయిం ఎంచు వండెంజగాం లు నగడ లుళువదిందా శీళ్ళిలు
ళుంది లు శెల్లదే-

లుంద్రళు శాంలంకి అంగళ్ళి అంగోయిళ్ళు
శాంతిశెరిల మలుశింద ఎంచన్న ధ్వనెలోదళ్ళి గళ్ళిందా నేలిలు
సనదరి నోలతిపు శీలేదేర్ళ గళెబిలెత్తి నగ్గ మేందలే
ధ్వలులళ్ళి లాగాలు లు లు బిత్తి- నగదు శెకలివ్వి గాంల
గాంలవ్వ ధనళు దాంలు ఎంద్ద రింద లుగర లుర్ర
దేవనత్తి నగళ్ళి గిశీలిదలు నాం ళవిలీగా నగ
శాంశింపిల నగదు గాంలద ధ్వలెమ్మవళ్ళి ఎబళ్ళి వి
నింపాంల గమలుశింద ఇలాఖెళు గళ ధ్వన్న శిలిలు
ధనవల శెనిబళాం రిందళ్ళి దేవ శీలుల

Fair Draft

Asst.

Under Superintendent

Reforms
MK 6/9/97

418

5714 of 97 Memorial 3.5.8 2.8.97

Mr R
6/9/97 Refs putting up

AR
7/9/97

Submitted
MK

Let dated 13/15 Sept 1897 8/9/97 02 2 5 4.95

Ramprem & the Muzarajir
Secretary to Govt. in Confdl
of Pet 358 & 28 August 1897 Bgde

13/9/97

1897

No. 6680.

Name of Petitioner Subramanya
Son of Ramanath Salan

Village Dodapetta

Taluk Mysore.

District Mysore.

Date of Arzi 31st August

Date of Receipt 2.? September

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

Rates as follows.

AR 15-9-97

1. That in accordance with the Govt. order, he has given 15 years' accounts of the Dharmasala, & the Deputy Commissioner referred them for examination.

2. That as to the petition, has submitted the accounts as above

Mr Thomas
Mysore
AR 17-9-97

Submitted
30/9/97

05 9 11 9 97

Kuppagal village,
has been collected by
the Amildar - and
held in deposit.
4. That the deputy Commr.
has declined to pay
the Abkary amount
to the Petitioners on
the ground that the
village has been
taken under Govt.
Management.
5. That the Surveyor
Superintendent has
taken no notice of
his Petn. & Petitioner
for final orders in
the matter under
complaint.
5. That for want of
funds the Petitioners
could not purchase
and store grains for
the use of the Dharmadha
6. That the Petitioners
therefore, pray for
orders for the payment
of the money held in
deposit, as also for
collecting the Revenue
of the said village, for
kuruby, as usual.

1892

668

3-9-97

NEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGISTER NO. 668 of
2-9-97

31/8/97

Mus. 5]

ನಂ 405

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಹುಜೂರು ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದ.

[illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ [illegible] ಮಾಹೆ 12 ನೇ
ಮುಕ್ತಂ 514-358 / 401 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಕಲಂ 498(ಎ) ಐ.ಪಿ.ಸಿ. ಮತ್ತು 3 & 4 ಡಿ.ಪಿ. ಆಕ್ಟ್

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ನಾನು ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ನಾನು ಈ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪರಾಧದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ತಾವು ಪ್ರಕರಣ ದಾಖಲಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ತನಿಖೆ ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತೀರಿ. ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಾದ ಮಹಮ್ಮದ್ ಅಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇತರರು ಇವರುಗಳು ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾಮೀನಿಗಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರು ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಜಾಮೀನು ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಜಾಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಬಂದಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಜಾಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರಿಗೆ ಜೀವ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಲು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳು ಜಾಮೀನಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಬಿಡುಗಡೆಯಾಗಿ ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ಕುಟುಂಬದವರಿಗೆ ಜೀವ ಬೆದರಿಕೆ ಇರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಲು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತೇವೆ. ಸದರಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಜಾಮೀನನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಪಡಿಸಿರುವ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರ ಆದೇಶದ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಸದರಿ ಆರೋಪಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಮಾಡಿ ಬಂಧಿಸಿ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು ಇವರ ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ವಿನಂತಿ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ,

ಸಹಿ/-

ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರ್

ಸಿ.ಪಿ.ಐ. ಕಛೇರಿ

ಹಿರಿಯೂರು

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 26/9/97

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ,

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸೆಷನ್ಸ್ ನ್ಯಾಯಾಧೀಶರು

ಚಿತ್ರದುರ್ಗ

Vide Cr.No. 405/97 U/s 498(A) IPC & 3 & 4 DP Act

ಹಿರಿಯೂರು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಠಾಣೆ

5/10

16-9-97

ಕಲ್ಲು 15/9/97 ಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲಸ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಂಡ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಕೆಲಸದ ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿದೆ.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 5781.

Name of Petitioner— [illegible]

Address— [illegible]

Date of Petition— 1 10 97

Date of Receipt— 9 10 97.

No. of Enclosures—

Refers

to

2/10/97

amount-Dc's reply

= Kyd- [illegible] No. 211 · dt 5-8-97
30-96

Abstract

[illegible]

(12)

260

OFFICE 1897 798

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
~~Revenue and General~~ Departments.
Bangalore

Dated 13th
Despatched 16th November 1897.
Received

General No. 77
No.

Enclosure No. one pl.

Requesting that the orders of Government may be obtained for restoring the management of the Inames village of Kempagala to Soobramanya Lala manager of Deena-nadha Lala's Dharmasyala at Mysore.

To the same no 604 d/26/11/97

No. 77
Enclosure No (one file)

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 13th/15th November 1897.
16

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
~~Revenue and General~~ Departments.
Muzrai

SIR,

As called for in Government order no 211 Muz 97.30.96 dated 5th August 1897, I beg to report as follows:—

On an examination of the account books for some years relating to Devanattu Kalasa Dharmasyala at Mysore it is found that the management of the Chetrum does not appear on the whole to be blameworthy: the entries made in the books cannot be verified and have to be presumed as correct. From the statement

Guru

given by Soobramanya Lala —
before the Muzrai Officer, it
will be found that he has now
given up the position of opposition
he formerly took up and is quite
willing to style himself manager
of the Dharmasyala and to ren-
render accounts in future and
guide himself by such orders as
Government may pass from time
to time regarding the management
of the Dharmasyala and its
Endowments.

2. The total income of the
Dharmasyala is as shewn
below: —

No	Particulars.	In cash (annually)	In kind (annually)
	Cash income.		
1	The Jamabundy of Sarvamanya Kuppagala village	2703 2 0	
2	Jasdik amount received from the Palace	864 0 0	
3	Rent for the two shops attached to the Dharmasyala at Rs 13/ per month	156 0 0	
4	Rent for a room inside the Dharmasyala at Rs 6/ per month	72 0 0	
5	Rent for the house in the Lushker Mohalla belonging to Dharmasyala @ Rs 12/ per month	144 0 0	
6	Rent for the house and shops opposite the Dharmasyala at Rs 14/ per month	168 0 0	
7	Cash Goolla for the Dalimbé Tholé at Kalastvadi Mysore Taluk	35 0 0	
8	— do — for the garden at Balagula	250 0 0	
9	— do — do — at Doddamara Gowdenhalli Mysore Taluk	95 10 0	
		2 Dal	Rs 4487 - 12 - 4
	Income in kind		
10	Goolla for the paddy field at Kalastvadi		118 Kolumaray candies
11	— do — do — at Balagula		35 — do — do —
12	— do — do — at Garjeswari		120 Pallahs
13	— do — do — attached to the garden at Kuppagal.		30 — do —

The Inam village of Kuppagala

has

has been Surveyed and Settled and the Exact income from land assessment is known as Rs 2703-2-0 as shewn above. Soobramanya Lala has bound himself to appoint a qualified shanbogue paying the proper Potagi, and in case he does not appoint a qualified man the appointment will be made on behalf of Government as agreed to by Soobramanya Lala in his Statement before the Muzrai Officer.

3. I beg that the orders of Government may be obtained to restore the management of the Enam village of Kuppagala to Soobramanya Lala of which he

has

been dispossessed from March last for failing to produce the account books for examination as per Government order.

4. On receipt of orders to restore the management, care will be taken to regulate the Expenditure and systematically check the accounts at prescribed periods. The Statement given before the Muzrai Officer Contains an inventory of the property belonging to the Dharmasyala on 1st November 1897 as well as the heads of Expenditure.

5. A file of Correspondence is enclosed for reference and kind return

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M. Shama Rao
Deputy Commissioner

(13)

To come today

From,

The Mmr: Secy.

To,

The Dy: Commr

Mysore Distt.

No 604 dated
Mur 730.96
26th Nov: 1897.
En/file

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter no. 77 dated 13th November 1897, recommending the restoration to Subramanyalala, of the management of the Inam village of Kuppagal attached to Jaggulal's Dharmasala at Mysore.

2. In reply, I am to state that before sanctioning the restor-ation of the

1. Income from the Inam village of Kuthgal as ascertained at the Jamabandi.
2. Receipts to the Institution from other sources.
3. The Dy: Commrs proposals for the future regulation of the Establishment and other charges at the Khannadas.
4. The Registers and accounts to be maintained by the Manager.

~~Letter Instituted~~

2. It is requested that after the completion of the Jamabandi of the village, a detailed report embodying full information on the marginally noted points may be Submitted for the further orders of Government.
4. The vernacular records are herewith returned.

Thanks &c

A1

Inam Secy.

JWH

Secy to Commissioner

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT

Registered No.— 1477.

Name of Petitioner —

Address—

Date of Petition—

Date of Receipt—

No. of Enclosures—

Annexure Letter

vide Govt order no 211/30-96
dt 5/6-8-1897 on the subject

Abstract

(14)

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Dr Mysore Dy.

Dated 25

Despatched 27. 7. 98

Received

General No. 1774

Muzrai F. No. 30-96

Enclosure No. 1

Has the honor to draw attention to his office No. 604 Muzr 30-96 & to the Nos 97 calling for a detailed report. ~~[illegible]~~ in the matter of the transaction of Dh Jagannatha Shanmasha of Mysore, Dec'r

request that
the Submission
of the Report may
be expedited.

2. An Extract
from a letter dd.
since
9th July 1898 recd.
from Gumbe Hunniah
Pandit one of the
Dharmadarsees.
of the said Insp.
in Mysore. is here-
with forwarded for whose
~~Inspection~~ Consideration
~~and decision~~

B.

M. Venkaiya

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
2-7-98
NO. 23

1-7-98

17/9/78

P.C.

OFFICE OF THE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER
RECEIVED
Date 17-9-78
REG No 476
MUZRAI BRANCH

Muz. 71

385

ರೂಬಕಾರಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖಾ

ಬತಾ॥ ನೇ ಮಾಹೆ

189 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮೊಕ್ತಾಂ

By Order,

Muzrai Secretary.

By order

Dy.

5/10/98

13/10/98

1898-99

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District &c

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Dept.

Dated 30th September 98

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Forwarding Karbari Soliciting instructions as to how the Cash allowance hitherto paid to Devanatha Swamy Salu at Mysore from the Palace has to be met hereafter.



MUZP. 766

ಕಾರ್ಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂಬಂಧಕಾರಿ

ಸಂಬಂಧಿಯು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮರಣ ಹೊಂದಿದ್ದು
ಪಿತೃ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ
ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 30 ನೇ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್

ಇ. 1898 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
ಮಾಡಲು

ಸಂಬಂಧಿಯವರ ಪಿತೃ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳನ್ನು

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

ಮಾಡಲು ಪ್ರಮಾಣಪತ್ರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ.

17-4-98
ನಂ 450
876

ಲೆ 3904
16-9-98

(13)

189

From

The Muzrai Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dy Commr
Mysore Dt

Dated

Despatched 4th October 1898
5

Received

Enclosures No.

General No 459
M. No. 30-96

This Office No. 604 dated 26th
Nov. Muz J 30-96
November 1897, calling for
a detailed report on the
subject of the future manage-
-ment of Jagaga Lalita's
Dharmasala at Mysore

and subsequent reminder marginally noted

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request an early reply

"By Order"

Dpt- [signature]
Muzrai-Secretary.

Docket no 174/30-96 dated
25th July 1898.

Rs

(General Serial No. 30.) (16)

1898-9.

From

The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore

Dated 14th Mazrai Dept.
Despatched
Received 8 March/99

Enclosures No.

General No. 140
No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No 321
MUZRAI BRANCH

Solicits permission to allow Soobramanya Lala to assume his original position as the Manager of Jaggu Lalaji Dharmasyala in view to the Collection of the revenue of the Inam village attached to the Dharmasyala.

To the Name no/077 dt/19/3/99.

Deputy Commissioner
Mungrai Depart.
Mysore Distrct

No 140.

Dated 14th March 1899.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Mungrai department

Sir

Adverting to your letter
No 604 M. 730-96. dated 26th November 1897
calling for a report regarding the
exact income &c of Jeggulals &
Dharmasyala from various Sources,
I have the honor to State that the
Jamabundy of the village of Kuppa-
-gal was Conducted last year
when it was discovered that wet
cultivation in the village had

creased with the aid of the
Ram fur channel which came
into existence a few years back.
It is found that Considerable
extent of land belonging to the
village and situated below the
channel has been enjoying Govern
ment water since the Survey
Settlement without any right to
it and without paying any water
rate to Government. In this
Connection it is necessary to
find out to what extent the
Inamdar is entitled to the free
use of water, and for this pur-
pose an Examination of the old
village accounts is necessary. This
has been going on for some time
and is not yet Concluded. Probably

a reference to Government will be necessary to settle the amount of water-rate that has to be levied from the Inamdar.

Until this question is decided, it is not possible to ascertain the total net income of the Dharmasyala, but in the meantime the revenue of the village has to be collected, so as to make funds available for the management of the Dharma-Syala.

As Soobramanya Lala is willing and prepared to submit to any Conditions which the Government may impose regarding the management of the institution-

the.

there seems to be scarcely any
reason to with-hold the manage
-ment from him, pending the
adoption of a regulated Scale
of expenditure and I therefore
request permission to allow him
to assume his original position
as the Manager in view to the Col.
-lection of the revenue.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

[illegible]

for Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District

(17)

MUZRAI

1899

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 19th March

Despatched

Received

General No. 1077
Muzrai F. No. 30–96

Enclosure No:

His no 140 dated 14th March 1899 proposing that the management of the village of Kuppegal endowed to Jaggu Lala's Dharmasala at Mysore may be restored to Subrahmanya Lala in view to the collection of the revenue of the Inam village.

In the above, the Deputy Commissioner reports that a considerable extent of the village lands has been enjoying Government water without the payment of

water-rate, that the old village accounts are being examined in view to ascertain the right of the Inamdar to the free enjoyment of Government water, that a reference to Govt. is necessary to settle the amount of water-rate due on the aforesaid lands and that until this question is finally decided, it is not possible to ascertain the exact total net-income that the Dharmasala might derive; the Dy Commr. therefore proposes to restore the management of the village to Subrahmanya Dala in view to make the collection of the village revenue in the meantime.

2. With reference to the above, the undersigned is to observe that the question of the water-rate payable to Govt. on the village lands now enjoying Govt. water may form the subject of a separate reference to Govt. in the Revenue department; but

but this does not render necessary the immediate restoration of the manage-ment of the Inam village to Subrahmanya Lala, merely for the purpose of the collection of revenue which may be made by the Taluk Amildar just as is done in respect of the revenue of other Devadaya villages under the direct management of the Muzrai Department. The Dy Commr is therefore informed that the Govt are not prepared to sanction the restoration of the management of the Inam village into the hands of Subrahmanya Lala until the exact amount of income therefrom has been definitely ascertained.

3. In the letter under

Reply, the Dy Comm.r states that the Jamabundi of the Inam village for the last year was conducted, but it is not reported whether such Jamabundi was conducted during the current year also. If this year's Jamabundi has not been conducted yet, the Dy Comm.r is requested to arrange for its being taken up and conducted at once, and also for the collection of the revenue of the village for the past two years by the Taluk Amildar. It is requested that a final report of the result of the Jamabundi and also on the other points referred to in the last para of this Office letter No. 604 mur 730-96 dated 26th Nov.r 1897 may be submitted for the orders of Govt. as early as practicable.

By order

Fair draft

Mysore Secretary

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1901

From

THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Dated 25th March 1901

Despatched 26th

Received

General No. 1072

Muzrai F. No. 30-96

Enclosure No.

This office No. 1077-
muz t 30-96
dy 19th march 1899,
calling for a detailed
report ~~of the result of~~
~~the Jamabandi &c. of the~~
village of Kuppegal,
endowed to Jaggu Lala's
Dharmasala at Mysore

"

Has the honor ~~to draw~~
~~attention to the above~~
~~and~~ to request that

regarding the Jama-
-bandi of the mian

the submission of the
report called for in the
above may be expedited.

By order

A J
Mitchell
AAG

OFFICE OF THE DIWAN OF MYSORE
No 641
27-4-91

From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Secretary, to the
Govt of Mysore
Muzarai Department

Dated 12th April

Despatched 13th

Received

General No. 164
No.

Enclosure No.

Awaiting to transmit
Report
6/
15/4/07

Reporting on the cancellation of the Jamabandy of the Inam village of Kuppahalli, Mysore Taluk.

V. de no 1064 dy 24/4/07

No 164

Dy Commissioner's office
Mypone District
Arunachalam

Dated Camp Chunchinkatte
12th April 1901.
13

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mypone District

To,

The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, Muzarai Dept

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 1012/30-96 of the 25th March 1901, I have the honor to report that the Jamabundi of the Inam village of Kuppagal for the current year has just been completed, and that the Amildar of Mypone, under whose management the village is, is as heretofore going on with the collection of the revenue of the village.

The Accounts of the Dharmasala for the past 10 years are being examined in order to enable me to submit proposals for the future regulation of the Establishment and other charges, and as soon as the examination is over, the detailed report called for in para 3 of your letter

letter No. 604 dated 26th November 1897,
30-96
will be submitted.

The Examination of the accounts will, it is expected, be over before the end of this month.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

for Dy. Commissioner
Mysore District.

Mysore.

Read:— Letter No. 664 dy 12th April 1901 from the Dy Commr. Mysore Distt, reporting that the Jamabandi of Kuppegal village endowed as Inam to Jaggu Lala's Dharmasala at Mysore has been completed, ~~that~~ the Accounts of the Instn are being examined and that as soon as this examn is over, a detailed report regarding the future management of the Instn will be submitted ~~as called for by~~ Govt.

2 0664
Dmz-7-30-96
Dr 20th April 1901.

Ordered.

Recorded with the remark that the promised report is awaited.

19/4/01

To the Dy Commr Mysore Dist

Dy Secretary

Reminder

MUZRAI

~~189~~ 1902–1903.

From
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

To
The Deputy Commr
Mysore District.

Dated 20th Sept. 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 555
Muzrai F. No 30-96

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No. 1064
Muzt-30-96,
dated 20th April 1901.

Has the honor to draw attention to the above, and to request that the detailed report therein called for re the management of the Jaggu Lala's Dharmasala and its Inam endowments, may be submitted to this Office with further delay. By order,

18/9/02

Dy Secretary
Mus. Depts

Reminder 2nd

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 0 —19 0

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Deputy Commr.
Mysore District

Dated 30 November 1904.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1557
Muzrai F. No. 30-96.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro. No. 6064
Muzt 30-96'
Dy 20th April 1901 and the marginally noted reminder, regarding the management of Jaggan dala's Dharmasala at Mysore

Has the honor to draw attention to the above, and to request to be furnished with an early reply thereto.

By order.

Dpl.

1/11/04.
Secretary,
Muz. Depte.

As this office No. 555 Dy 20 9 02.
Muzt 30-96

31/10/04

M. G. .P.B.—1,000—5-10-03.

Muz. 2]

No. 659 Confdl

Muz. F. 30-96

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT,

DATED BANGALORE, 15th ~~July~~ August 1905.

FROM Confdl

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF H. H.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore Dist

SIR,

I am directed to draw your attention to Government Pro. No. 1064/Muz.F.30-96 dated 20th April 1901, and the marginally noted remindors, regarding the affairs of Jaggu Lala's Dharmasala in the Mysore City and to observe that a final report has not yet been received from you though the reference is pending in your District for the past 4 years.

2. A detailed report as promised in your letter No. 164 dated 13th April 1901 should be submitted before the 15th August 1905, together with a statement of receipts and expenditure of the Inam village of Kuppegala (endowed to the Institution) since it came under Government Management.

3. Petition No. 48 dated 24th June 1905 from Tiju Rama Lala on the subject of misappropriation of the properties of the Dharmasala by the present Manager Subramanya Lala

enquiry

is herewith sent for early and report.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

(Fair draft) 31/7/35

S e c r e t a r y.

(5)

No. 2090 dt 3.11.05

To

The Deputy Commr.,
Mysore Dt

Sir,

When I inspected the Jagan Lala's Dharmasala at Mysore in June 1905, I observed that the floor of the Institution was in need of being slabbed, or otherwise well repaired. I am therefore directed to request that you will have the needful done by the present manager Subrahmanya Lala and report the fact to this office at an early date.

2. The submission of the report called for in this office No. 659 Dy 1st Aug '05 regarding the Inam villages attached to the Dharmasala should be expedited.

I have &c.,

1/11/05

dt
2.11.05





From

H Sharma Esq B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

Le Anantasawmi Rao Esq B.A.
Secy: to Govt Revenue Dept

Dated 30th November 1905

Despatched 2—12—05

Received

General No. 2905

No.

Enclosure No

States that a final report anent the affairs of Jaggulalo Dharma Sala in the Mysore city will be submitted to Government after receipt of a report called for from the Mysore Division Assistant Commissioner.

Refer

by
4/12/05

Mrd.
May the promised report be awaited.
by 15/12/05

vs

Issue send a reminder next month

ks
22/12/05

MCCPB—50 forms—6 12 02

— 26/5/06 — Secretary.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 30th Nov: 1905.

No. 795
Encl:

To
L. Anantasamma Rao Esq: B.A.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore, Huzur department.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 659 - dated
Huz 730-96
1st August 1905 anent the affairs
of Jaggulal's Dharmasala in the
Mysore city, I have the honor to
state that Mr. C. Krishna Rao, assis-
-tant Commissioner, Mysore Division,
is requested to conduct the Jama-
-bandy of the Kuppegal village
endowed to the said Dharmasala
and to submit a full report on
certain points called for in your
letter under reply and letter No.
604 - dated the 26th November
Huz 730-96
1897 and on receipt of his report,
the petitioner Thejpu Ram Lala, will
be called upon to substantiate
his allegations and a final re-
-port thereon be submitted to
Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant
Chandrasekhara
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

Cpy
Bd

From

To The Deputy Commissioner,
Koraput District.

Dated

Despatched 5. 4. 06.

Received

General No. ~~5477~~ 4477
No.

Enclosure No.

His No. 295 dated 30 November 1905 Stating that a final report anent the affairs of Jaggulal's Dharmasala in the Koraput City will be submitted to Government after receipt of the same from the Divisional Assistant Com

---

Has the honour to draw attention to the above and to request to be furnished with an early reply thereto.

By Order
26/3/06 26/3/06
Secretary.

draft for app

26/10

Mar. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Dated

Despatched 30.10.1907

Received

General No. 2107
No.

Enclosure No. One

In continuation of this office No 659, dated 1st August 1905, has the honor to forward herewith for report another petition Regd No. 1600, dated 4th October 1907, received from Mr. M D Jeya Rama Rao, Sanitest Mysore City, complaining of the mismanagement of the affairs of Jaggu Lala's Dharma sala at Mysore.

2. An early reply is requested.

By Order,

Secretary

(for Secretary)

GPB—S 000—21-7 05

c/p. 2108

Encl. Copies of the above and of the petn. forwarded

to the Offr. in charge of the Palaces

Estts, Mysore, with the request

that a report as to how the task

of Rs.72 is being utilised

~~Charities are managed~~, may

be sent to this Office at an

early date.

By Order,

Secretary

W. Nelson

Draft for approval.

Murzai

5776 Eve 1
22/6/1908

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Sir,

In continuation of Govt. Pro: No. 10624 Murzf 30-96, dated 20th April 1901, and subsequent reminders, I am directed to forward herewith a cutting from the Mysore Herald of the 11th June 1908, relative to the mismanagement of Jagan Lala's Dharmasala at Mysore and of its endowment villages.

2. I am to request that you will be so good as to investigate into the matter and submit a detailed report together with your proposals for the better management of the Institution.

3. An early reply is requested.

I have etc.

14/6/08

18/6/08 Secretary